

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/814,274	04/01/2004	Ulrich Augustin	06580028US	8108
7:	590 10/13/2004		EXAMINER	
McGuireWoo	ds LLP		MILLER, CARL STUART	
Suite 1800 1750 Tysons B	oulevard		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Tysons Corner			3747	
McLean, VA			DATE MAILED: 10/13/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			۸ ۸
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	W
	10/814,274	AUGUSTIN, ULRICH	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Carl S. Miller	3747	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address -	•
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may be earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thiod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communica  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ition.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	·		
<i>,</i> —	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	·	•	; is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.l	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the applicati	on.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	Irawn from consideration.		
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21</u> is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10,13 and 14</u> is/are rejected.			
7) $\boxtimes$ Claim(s) $11-12$ and $15-20$ is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	accepted or b) 🗌 objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	he drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the core	· ·	= :	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152	•
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
<ul><li>1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document</li><li>2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document</li></ul>		Application No.	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	,		
application from the International Bur		Trooprod III and Madonal Gago	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	•	t received.	
	•		
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date	
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/</li> </ol>		Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)  Other:	·	

Application/Control Number: 10/814,274

Art Unit: 3747

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Crofts ('736).

In particular, the applicant's attention is directed to column 8, lines 59-column 9, line 20 wherein the disclosure of Crofts states that voltage variations within the piezo stack occur throughout the cycle, not just when the needle strikes the valve. Further, these voltage differences are shown in Figure 5c wherein it is clear that the voltage limitations of claim 6 are met. Finally, figure 1 shows a plate on the end of the valve member which creates the force on the stack which, in turn, changes the voltage of the stack.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lowi in view of Peters. Lowi (at Figure 10) teaches an injection control valve system including a feedback sensor. The sensor is exposed to fluid pressure in chamber 16. A spool valve controls flow to the actuator.

Peters teaches a spool valve for injector control having fluid passages on its ends as claimed (Figures 7 and 8) and a drain valve which is used to move the valve to a new position by opening a drain at one end of the spool.

Application/Control Number: 10/814,274

Art Unit: 3747

It would have been obvious to use a drain valve to control the spool of Lowi since both had spool valve controlled injections.

Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lowi and Peters as applied to claim13 above, and further in view of Schneider.

Schneider teaches a plate valve used to open an injector control drain valve, thereby making such a drain valve obvious to use in Lowi since Peters teaches a common drain valve.

Claims 11-12 and 15-20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 21 is allowed.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carl S. Miller whose telephone number is 308-2653. The examiner can normally be reached on MTWTHF.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry Yuen, can be reached on 308-1946. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

Application/Control Number: 10/814,274

Art Unit: 3747

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Exemina.